1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DEFENDANTS' BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF UBER'S PRIVILEGE CLAIMS CHALLENGED BY PLAINTIFFS FOR HENLEY CUSTODIAL FILE – PURSUANT TO SPECIAL MASTER ORDER NO. 3 (DKT. 2472)

Case No. 3:23-MD-3084-CRB

2 3

Pursuant to Special Master Order No. 3, I (4) (Dkt. 2472), Defendants submit this brief in support of their position on the remaining privilege challenges for custodian Mat Henley. On March 25, 2025, Plaintiffs challenged 16 documents within this custodial file. Through conferrals, the parties have narrowed their dispute to 4 challenges. These challenges are now submitted for the Special Master's review.

At issue in this set of challenges is a confidential email from a third party, along with three of its attachments. Plaintiffs challenged these documents, claiming that the involvement of the third party removes confidentiality. However, the confidential email was sent by a third party to Uber's in-house counsel. The third party's role in working at the direction of in-house counsel is evident from the face of the document and was explained in the Third Party Digest, provided to the Special Master on February 18, 2025, which Plaintiffs have not challenged. The third party is identified in the challenged documents, Defendants' privilege log, and the February 18, 2025, Third Party Digest. The presence of a third party does not waive privilege where, as here, the confidential communication is "reasonably necessary for . . . the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer is consulted." *See* Cal. Evid. Code § 952; *see also Atmel Corp. v. St. Paul Fire & Marin Ins. Co.*, 409 F. Supp. 2d 1180, 1181 (N.D. Cal. 2005); *Anoush v. Bournayan v. Garfield Beach CVS, LLC*, 2024 WL 590666, at *2 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 9, 2024) (CVS did not waive privilege when revealing confidential information to a third-party vendor who assisted in investigating and evaluating a claim).

Defendants incorporate by reference the legal standard and arguments set forth in their prior briefing (Dkts. 2433, 2461, 2528, 2544, 2580, 2605, 2661, and 2677). As explained more fully in the prior briefs, the applicable legal standard and the factual material previously provided to the Special Master, when reviewed in conjunction with the challenged documents and the associated metadata fields, support Uber's privilege and work product assertions. The remaining privilege claims submitted for the Special Master's determination should be upheld.

¹ As requested by the Special Master, Uber has provided (1) a glossary of terms commonly used in disputed documents, (2) a list of names and titles of relevant Uber inside and outside counsel, and (3) a digest of third-parties present in its privilege log. Defense counsel will also be available for any questions the Special Master may have during the review process.

1		
2	DATED: April 11, 2025	Respectfully submitted,
3		SHOOK HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
4		By: /s/ Jennifer Hill
5		JENNIFER HILL (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) jshill@shb.com
6		MARIA SALCEDO (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) msalcedo@shb.com
7		SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. 2555 Grand Blvd.
8		Kansas City, MO 64108
9		Telephone: (816) 474-6550 Facsimile: (816) 421-5547
10		MICHAEL B. SHORTNACY (SBN: 277035)
11		mshortnacy@shb.com SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
12		2121 Avenue of the Stars, Ste. 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067
13		Telephone: (424) 285-8330 Facsimile: (424) 204-9093
14		PATRICK OOT (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) oot@shb.com
15		SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. 1800 K St. NW Ste. 1000
16		Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 783-8400
17		Facsimile: (202) 783-4211
18		KYLE N. SMITH (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> admitted)
19		ksmith@paulweiss.com JESSICA E. PHILLIPS (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> admitted)
20		jphillips@paulweiss.com PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON
21		& GARRISON LLP 2001 K Street, NW
22		Washington DC, 20006 Telephone: (202) 223-7300
23		Facsimile: (202) 223-7420
24		Attorney for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
25		RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC
26		
27		
28		3
20	DEFENDANTS' BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF UBER'S PRIVILEGE CLAIMS CHALLENGED BY PLAINTIFFS FO	

HENLEY FILE – PURSUANT TO SPECIAL MASTER ORDER NO. 3 (DKT. 2472)

Case No. 3:23-MD-3084-CRB

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 2768 Filed 04/11/25 Page 4 of 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 11, 2025, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will automatically send notification of the filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ Jennifer Hill _ Jennifer Hill

DEFENDANTS' BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF UBER'S PRIVILEGE CLAIMS CHALLENGED BY PLAINTIFFS FOR HENLEY FILE – PURSUANT TO SPECIAL MASTER ORDER NO. 3 (DKT. 2472)

Case No. 3:23-MD-3084-CRB